

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTO	OR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/808,243		03/14/2001	Richard Muhlbacher		LEAR 0835 PUS	4800
	7590	09/22/2004			EXAM	INER
Christopher	W. Qui	nn			THOMPSON, CAMIE S	
Brooks & Kı					ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1000 Town Center, 22nd Floor				,	ARI UNII	PAPER NUMBER
Southfield, MI 48075-1351			•	1774		

DATE MAILED: 09/22/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		$\langle \cdot \rangle$, \cup					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/808,243	MUHLBACHER ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Camie S Thompson	1774					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) ■ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 Ju 2a) ■ This action is FINAL. 2b) ■ This 3) ■ Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final.						
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-25 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) Claim(s) 23 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-4,6,7,10-12,14 and 18 is/are rejecte 7) Claim(s) 5, 8-9, 13,15-17, 19-22 and 24-25 is/a 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration. d. are objected to. r election requirement.						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti		• •					
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of 	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive I (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)					

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

- 1. Applicant's amendment and accompanying remarks filed June 21, 2004 have been acknowledged.
- 2. Examiner acknowledges amended claims 1-5, 9-11, 17 and 20-21.
- 3. The rejection of claims 17 and 21 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph is withdrawn due to applicant's amendment of claims 17 and 21.
- 4. The rejection of claims 1, 5, 13 and 19-20 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Caudill, Jr., U.S. Patent Number 4,541,885 is withdrawn due to applicant's argument.
- 5. The rejection of claims 1, 8, 16 and 22 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Caudill, Jr., U.S. Patent Number 4,541,885 in view of Ohta et al., U.S. Patent 4,791,019 is withdrawn due to applicant's argument.
- 6. The rejection of claims 1, 8-9, 15 and 24-25 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Caudill, Jr., U.S. Patent Number 4,541,885 in view of Ohta et al., U.S. Patent Number 4,791,019 and in further view of Haeseker et al., U.S. Patent Number 4,479,992 is withdrawn due to applicant's argument.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Application/Control Number: 09/808,243

Art Unit: 1774

8. Claims 1-4, 6-7, 10-12, 14 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Caudill, Jr., U.S. Patent Number 4,541,885.

Caudill teaches an interior component for an automobile that comprises a cover layer that is applied over a two-layer foam laminate as per instant claim 1 (see column 2, lines 30-41). The reference also discloses that component comprises an intermediate layer that is a thin, flexible polyurethane foam as per instant claims 10 and 14 (see column 1, lines 35-40 and column 2, lines 35-40). Additionally, the reference discloses upper and lower foam (polyurethane) panels as per instant claims 1 and 11-12 (see Figure 2 and column 2, lines 18-29). It is also disclosed in the reference that the cover layer is a decorative layer as per instant claim 1 (see column 2, lines 30-40). Figure 2 of the reference discloses that the upper and lower foam panels are interconnected along their whole area of contact and that the upper foam panel has a smaller lateral dimension than the lower foam panel as per instant claims 2 and 6. Also, figure 2 of the reference discloses that the upper and lower foam panels have different material thicknesses as per instant claim 3. Claims 1, 7 and 18 are product-by-process claims. Even though product-byprocess claims are limited by and defined by the process, determination of patentability is based on the product itself. The patentability of a product does not depend on its method of production. If the product in the product-by-process claim is the same as or obvious from a product of the prior art, the claim is unpatentable even though the prior art was made by a different process. The manner in which the foam panels bonded together does not make the component of the Caudill reference different from applicant's component. Both Caudill and applicant have upper and lower foam panels that are bonded together. The Caudill component is the same as applicant's. The limitation "for a vehicle roof" goes to intended use and is given

Art Unit: 1774

little patentable weight in a product claim. In response to applicant's argument that, a recitation of the intended use of the claimed invention must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim. In a claim drawn to a process of making, the intended use must result in a manipulative difference as compared to the prior art. See In re Casey, 152 USPQ 235 (CCPA 1967) and In re Otto, 136 USPQ 458, 459 (CCPA 1963).

- 9. Claims 5, 8-9, 13, 15-17, 19-20 and 24-25 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. The prior art does not provide for the recited interior lining component, which provides acoustic absorption, further including a reinforcing mat and cover fleece.
- 10. Claim 23 is allowed. The prior art does not provide for an inside roof lining for a vehicle, the roof lining:
 - at least one decorative layer forming a facing of the roof lining;
 - an intermediate layer covered by the decorative layer, the intermediate layer including a cushioning layer;
 - a first reinforcing mat disposed above the intermediate layer, the reinforcing layer comprising fibers;
 - a support layer disposed above the first reinforcing layer, the support layer including

Art Unit: 1774

lower foam panel, an upper foam panel and an adhesive layer disposed between the foam panels for interconnecting the foam panels together, each foam layer comprising polyurethane; and a second reinforcing mat disposed above the support layer, the second reinforcing mat

comprising fibers.

Response to Arguments

- 11. Applicant's arguments filed June 21, 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that the Caudill, Jr. reference does not read on amended claim 1 in that the reference does not support a support layer disposed proximate a vehicle roof. As written, instant claim 1 recites that the support layer is adapted to be disposed proximate the vehicle roof. "Adapted to" is functional language, which is providing function rather than structure to the interior lining component. As currently amended, the phrase "adapted to" indicates that the support layer may be disposed proximate the vehicle roof. It is not necessarily so that the support layer is disposed proximate the vehicle roof. The Caudill rejection is maintained.
- 12. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37

Application/Control Number: 09/808,243

Art Unit: 1774

CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communication from the examiner should be directed to Camie S. Thompson whose telephone number is (571) 272-1530. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 7:30 am to 4:00 pm. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rena L Dye, can be reached at (571) 272-3186. The fax phone number for the Group is (703) 872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Page 6

AN.1124